

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/065,239

Applicant(s)

TISSLER ET AL.

Examiner

Christina Johnson

Art Unit

1725

**All Participants:**(1) Christina Johnson.(2) Tracy Druce.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

41, 42


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 41 and 42 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to render claims 41-42 commensurate in scope with claim 1 and to provide proper antecedent basis for all claim terminology. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..